

Curriculum Vitae

ROGER A. HANSON

May 16, 2007

EDUCATION

University of Minnesota, Ph.D. 1973, Political Science and Philosophy of Science.

Dissertation: Majority Rule and Policy Allocations: A Critique and Extension of The Calculus of Consent. This inquiry develops a spatial, non-egoistic theory of collective decision-making.

University of Strathclyde, European Consortium for Political Research, 1973.

University of Michigan, Inter-University Consortium for Social and Political Research, 1966.

POSITIONS HELD

Adjunct Faculty, University of Colorado Law School and Political Science, 2007-Present

Principal, Hanson and Hunter Consulting, 1999-Present.

Provided technical assistance to courts on how to achieve high institutional performance in every American State, Afghanistan, Canada, England, Japan and most recently, the Philippines.

Senior Researcher, National Center for State Courts, 1988-1998.

President, Justice Resources, 1985-1988.

Senior Faculty, Institute for Court Management, 1981-1985.

Research Director, ABA Action Commission to Reduce Court Costs and Delay, 1979-1981.

Senior Administrative Analyst, Mathematica Policy Research, 1977-1979.

Visiting Professor, Reed College, Department of Political Science, 1976-1977

Director, Special Crime Attack Team, Denver, Colorado, 1975-1976.

Assistant Professor, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Department of Political Science, 1972-1975

Assistant Professor, University of Georgia, Department of Political Science, 1969-1972.

PUBLICATIONS

Books and Monographs

Trial Courts as Organizations. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2007. (With Brian Ostrom, Charles Ostrom and Matthew Kleiman).

An Inquiry into the Efficiency and Productivity of the Louisiana Circuit Courts of Appeal. Williamsburg: Hanson and Hunter. 2001.

Jurisdiction, Caseload and Timeliness in Five State Supreme Courts: Florida, Georgia, Minnesota, Ohio and Virginia. Williamsburg: NCSC. 2001.

The Work of Appellate Court Legal Staff. Williamsburg: NCSC. 2000. (With Carol Flango and Randall Hansen).

Efficiency, Timeliness and Quality: A New Perspective from the View of Nine Criminal Trial Courts. Washington, DC. National Institute of Justice. 1999. (With Brian Ostrom).

Appellate Court Performance Standards and Measures. Williamsburg: NCSC. 1999.

Handbook for Appellate Judges: Management Information and Court Performance. Williamsburg: NCSC. 1996.

Time on Appeal. Williamsburg: NCSC. 1996. This research informed the debate among members of Judicial Administration Division of the American Bar Association on the setting of national time frames for appellate courts to adhere to in resolving cases. This role is described in legal news article by Randall Samborn, “ABA Goals Unrealistic,” 16/1 *National Law Journal* November 15, 1993 and a companion editorial, “Appeals Overload,” in the same issue.

Procedural Innovations for Appellate Courts. Williamsburg. NCSC. 1995.

Challenges to State Court Criminal Convictions: Federal Habeas Corpus Review. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice Statistics. 1995. Results are used in US Supreme Court cases (e.g., *Duncan v. Walker* (2001) and in legal briefs to the US Supreme Court. See *Amicus Curiae* brief supporting the petitioner, Keith Bowles versus Harry Russell, respondent, on January 22, 2007 and Respondent’s brief in Don B. Schriro, Petitioner, versus Jeffrey Timothy Landrigan, Respondent, December 18, 2006. (With Henry Daley).

Challenges to Conditions of Confinement: Section 1983 Lawsuits. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice Statistics. 1994. (With Henry Daley). This research including both its results and recommendations was the basis for policies adopted by the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit to guide the handling of section 1983 lawsuits by US District Courts within the Circuit.

Indigent Defenders Get the Job Done and Done Well. Williamsburg: NCSC. 1993. Reprinted in The Criminal Justice System: Politics and Policies, 9th Edition. (Ed.) George Cole. Belmont: Wadsworth. (2004). (With Brian Ostrom, William Hewitt and Christopher Lomvardias). This research is a central focus of a legal news article by Andy Court, "Is there a Crisis? A Special Report on Indigent Defense: Poor Man's Justice," 15 *American Lawyer* 46 (1993).

Intermediate Appellate Courts: Improving Case Processing. Williamsburg: NCSC. 1990. (With Joy Chapper).

Understanding Reversible Error in Criminal Appeals. Williamsburg: NCSC. 1989. (With Joy Chapper). This research is the subject of a legal news article by Paul Marotte, "Few Reversible Errors: Study Challenges Convention Wisdom on Criminal Appeals," 76 *American Bar Association Journal* 30 (1990).

Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanisms for Prisoners Grievances: A Manual for Averting Litigation. Washington, DC: National Institute of Corrections. 1984. (With Jonathan Silbert and George Cole).

Telephone Hearings in Civil and Criminal Cases: Consequences and Implementation. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. 1983. (With Kathy Shuart, Marlene Thornton and Joy Chapper).

Articles

"Implement and Use Court Performance Measures," 6 *Criminology and Public Policy* (forthcoming, 2007). (With Brian Ostrom).

"American State Appellate Court Technology Diffusion," 7 *Journal of Appellate Practice and Process* 259 (2005). Discussed in *Parker v. Parker, Concurring Opinion*, Judge W. Griffen, Arkansas Court of Appeals, CA-06-11, January 31, 2007.

"Congress, Courts and Corrections," 78 *Notre Dame Law Review* 1525 (2003). (With Brian Ostrom and Fred Chessman).

"Note on the Impact of Technology on Appellate Court Case Flow Management," 35 *Indiana Law Review* 527 (2002).

"Appellate Mediation in New Mexico: An Evaluation," 4 *Journal of Appellate Practice and Process* 167 (2002). (With Richard Becker).

"Courts have Surprising Success in Mediating Workers' Comp Cases," 7 *Dispute Resolution* 25 (2001).

"A Tale of Two Laws: Congress Confronts Habeas Corpus and Section 1983 Lawsuits," 22 *Law and Policy* 89 (2000). (With Fred Chessman).

“What is the Role of State Doctrine in Understanding Tort Litigation?” 1 *University of Michigan Law and Policy Review* 1 (1996). (With Brian Ostrom and David Rottman).

“So the Verdict is in—What Happens Next? The Continuing Story of Tort Awards in State Courts,” 16 *Justice System Journal* 97 (1993). (With Brian Ostrom and Henry Daley).

“What Are Tort Awards Really Like? The Untold Story from the State Courts,” 14 *Law and Policy* 7 (1992). (With Brian Ostrom and David Rottman).

“An Assessment of Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal’s Settlement Conference Program,” 18 *Florida State University Law Review* 179 (1990).

“If Ought Implies Can, Where is the Evidence Federal Courts are Able to Solve Policy Problems?” 35 *Loyola Law Review* 1 (1989).

“Organizing the Criminal Appeals Process,” 73 *Judicature* 239 (1989). (With Joy Chapper).

“Contending Perspectives on Federal Court Efforts to Reform State Institutions,” 59 *University of Colorado Law Review* 289 (1988).

“What Does Sentencing Reform Do to Criminal Appeals?” 72 *Judicature* 50 (1988). (With Joy Chapper).

“What should be Done When Prisoners Want to Bring the State to Court?” 70 *Judicature* 223 (1987).

“Telephone Conferencing in Criminal Cases,” 38 *University of Miami Law Review* 611 (1984). (With Lynae Olsen, Kathy Shuart and Marlene Thornton).

“Expedited Procedure for Appellate Courts,” 42 *University of Maryland Law Review* (1983). (With Joy Chapper).

“Telephone Hearings in Civil Courts: What Do Attorneys Think?” 66 *Judicature* 408 (1983). (With Lynae Olsen, Kathy Shuart and Marlene Thornton).

“The Attorney Time Savings/Litigant Cost Savings Hypothesis: Does Time Equal Money?” 8 *Justice System Journal* 258 (1983). (With Joy Chapper).

“Mediation: Is it an Effective Alternative to Adjudication in Resolving Prisoners’ Complaints?” 65 *Judicature* 481 (1982). (With George Cole and Jonathan Silbert).

“A Response to Hardy and Newcomer,” 3 *Law and Policy Quarterly* 485 (1981). (With Robert Crew).

“Assessing the Consequences in AFDC Administration: The Case of Monthly Reporting,” 32 *Administrative Law Review* 5 (1980). (With David Price and David Horner).

“Police Patrol Productivity,” 3 *Public Productivity Review* 30 (1977). (With Charles Shannon).

“The Rule of Law and Legal Efficacy: Private Values versus General Standards,”
9 *Western Political Quarterly* 387 (1974). (With Harrell Rodgers).

“Committee Decision Making, Representation and Policy Outcomes,” 219 *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 91 (1973). (With Peter Rice).

“The Policy Impact of Reapportionment,” 8 *Law and Society Review* 69 (1973). Reprinted in The American Court System (Eds.) Sheldon Goldman and Austin Sarat. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman (1978); The Impact of Supreme Court Decisions (Eds.) Theodore Becker and Malcolm Feeley. New York: Oxford University Press. 1973; and Law and Judicial Processes (Ed.) Sidney Ulmer. New York: The Free Press. 1981. (With Robert Crew).

“A Formal Analysis of a Constitutional Decision-Making Argument,”
14 *Midwest Journal of Political Science* 596 (1970).

Essays in Edited Books

“Civil Justice in the United States,” in The Improvement of the Administration of Justice. (Eds.) Gordon Griller and Keith Stott. Chicago: American Bar Association, 2003. (With David Rottman).

“Criminal Adversaries for the Poor,” in The Japanese Adversary System in Context (Eds.) Malcolm Feeley and Setsou Miyazawa. New York: Palgrave MacMillan. 2002. (With Brian Ostrom and Ann Jones).

“Appeals,” and “Pardons,” in The Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice (Ed.) David Levinson. New York: Sage Publications. 2002.

“What Recent Reforms of the American Judicial System have Worked and Why: Implications for Japan,” *International Center for Comparative Law and Politics Review*. Tokyo: University of Tokyo. 2002.

“Resources, Delivery of Services, and Public Support for the Courts,” in Comprehensive Economic and Legal Development, Washington, DC. World Bank. 2001.

“The Impact of Judicial Intervention on Prisons and Jails,” in Courts, Corrections and the Constitution (Ed.) John DiIulio. New York: Oxford University Press. 1990. (With Malcolm Feeley).

“Politics and the Theory of Public Goods,” in Public Goods and Public Policy (Eds.) William Loehr, Todd Sandler, and John Cauley. New York: Sage Publications. 1978.

SOURCES AND AMOUNTS OF COMPETITIVE GRANTS AWARDED

Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (\$200,000), Alliance for Retirement Prosperity (\$10,000), Bureau of Justice Statistics (\$500,000), Education Commission of the States (\$25,000), National Commission on Quality and Public Productivity (\$175,000), National Institute of Corrections (\$175,000), National Institute of Justice (\$1,500,000), National Research Council (\$5,000), National Science Foundation (\$400,000), Piton Foundation (\$25,000), Rockefeller Foundation (\$50,000), State Justice Institute (\$2,000,000) and World Bank (\$5,000).

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES AND POSITIONS

Member, Habeas Corpus Study Advisory Committee, Vanderbilt Law School, 2006-2007.
Visiting Lecturer, Universities of Tokyo and Kyoto Law Schools, 2001
Editor, *Justice System Journal*, 1994-1996, 1983-1985
Conference Organizer, Paths to Civil Justice Reform, Rockefeller Center, Bellagio, Italy, 1996
Keynote Speaker, Dinai-Tokyo Bar Association Anniversary, 1995
Conference Organizer, Williamsburg Conference on Torts, 1994
Keynote Speaker, Canadian Court Administrator National Conference, 1993
Member, National Commission on Mediation Standards, 1992
Keynote Speaker, Appellate Practice Section, Michigan Bar Association, 1992
Visiting Scholar, National Center for State Courts, 1988
Visiting Fellow, National Institute of Justice, US Department of Justice, 1984-1985

CONTACT DATA

3349 South Monaco Parkway, Unit D

(804) 349-5189

drhanson@widomaker.com

